



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,636	02/25/2002	Ulrich Noth	00325-052901	3647
26248	12/03/2004		EXAMINER	
NIXON PEABODY LLP			KAUSHAL, SUMESH	
BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,			
Advisory Action	10/082,636	NOTH ET AL.				
i Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D.	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 04 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection.	on. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action: or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) $igotimes$ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	ĺ			
10. Other:		1				
		EY FREDMAN RY EXAMINER (2) (1)				
		•	1			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Incorporation of newly filed claim limitation "wherein soft tissue components associated with bone surfaces have been removed" and "wherein said mesenchymal stem cells are derived from the mineralized matrix of bone" in claims 1 and 10 respectively would require additional search and/or consideration under 35 USC 102/103 regarding prior art issues.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's response is limited to newly filed claim limitations that has not been considered. .